

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/811,533	03/29/2004	David L. Matheny	P18484	2291
	7590 05/29/200 ASCHOFF & TALWA	EXAMINER		
50 LOCUST AVENUE			REHMAN, MOHAMMED H	
NEW CANAAN, CT 06840			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2116	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/29/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
·	10/811,533	MATHENY ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Mohammed H. Rehman	2116				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app		e correspondence address				
Period for Reply	VIO OET TO EVENE AMONI	TILLON OD TILLIDTY (20) DANG				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION (16(a). In no event, however, may a reply built apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS for cause the application to become AB ANDO	ON. e timety filed rom the mailing date of this communication. DNED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 Ma	<u>arch 2004</u> .					
	,					
,—	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
closed in accordance with the practice under £	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11,	, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.	•				
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau	•					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
·						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mai 5) Notice of Inform	il Date al Patent Application				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>3/29/04</u> .	6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/811,533 Page 2

Art Unit: 2116

DETAILED ACTION

1. The office acknowledges the receipt of the following and placed of record in the file:

Application dated 3/29/04

2. Claims 1-21 are presented for examination.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1 and 21 are rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as being obvious over Applicant's Admitted Prior Art (hereinafter, "AAPA") in view of Dennis et al. (hereinafter, "Dennis") U.S. Patent No. 7,174,446.

Regarding Claim 1, AAPA teaches a method comprising:

selecting one of a BCV (boot connection vector) pointer and a BEV (bootstrap entry vector) pointer to have a non-null value [AAPA, page-3 lines: 1-24]. AAPA does not disclose expressly receiving input from a user; and in response to the input, a selection is made.

In the same field of endeavor (e.g. information handling system that may discriminate between bootable devices), Dennis discloses

receiving input from a user [Dennis, col-4 lines: 51-53]; and

Application/Control Number: 10/811,533

Art Unit: 2116

in response to the input, a selection is made [Dennis, col-3- lines: 47-59 and col-4 lines: 9-22; Fig-2(C)].

Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to have incorporated Dennis's teachings of user selection by an input from a user with the teachings of AAPA for the purpose of customizing the boot process according to user's need in order to minimize the booting time of a computer system.

Regarding Claim 2, AAPA together with Dennis teach the method according to claim 1 as described above. Dennis further teaches prior to receiving the input, prompting the user to select a boot option from among a plurality of boot options [Dennis, col-3 lines: 57-59 and col-4 lines: 15-22 & 43-60; Fig-2].

The motivation that was utilized in the combination of Claim 1, super, applies equally as well to Claim 2.

Regarding Claim 3, AAPA together with Dennis teach the method according to claim 1 as described above. Dennis further teaches wherein the prompting includes: displaying the plurality of boot options to the user [Dennis, col-3 lines: 57-59 and col-4 lines: 15-22(IPL devices such as floppy and hard disk are shown for user selection).

The motivation that was utilized in the combination of Claim 1, super, applies equally as well to Claim 3.

Regarding Claim 4, AAPA together with Dennis teach the method according to claim 1 as described above. Dennis further teaches wherein the input is received in response to the prompting [Dennis, col-4 lines 51-53].

Art Unit: 2116

The motivation that was utilized in the combination of Claim 1, super, applies equally as well to Claim 4.

Regarding Claim 5, AAPA together with Dennis teach the method according to claim 1 as described above. AAPA further teaches wherein the plurality of boot options includes:

at least one PXE (Pre-boot Execution Environment) option [AAPA, Page; 3 lines: 11-24]; at least one RPL (Remote Program Load) option [AAPA, Page:3 lines: 11-24]; and at least one iSCSI (Internet Small Computer System Interface) option [AAPA, Page: 3 lines: 11-24].

Regarding Claim 6, AAPA together with Dennis teach the method according to claim 1 as described above. AAPA further teaches wherein the selecting includes changing the BCV pointer from a null value to a non-null value and changing the BEV pointer from a non-null value to a null value [AAPA, Page: 3 lines:19-24].

Regarding Claim 7, AAPA together with Dennis teach the method according to claim 1 as described above. AAPA further teaches wherein the selecting includes changing the BEV pointer from a null value to a non-null value and changing the BCV pointer from a non-null value to a null value [AAPA, Page: 3 lines:19-24].

Claim 8 is rejected on grounds corresponding to the reasons given above for claim 1 and furthermore Dennis discloses a system comprising a processor (inherently, computer has processor); and a memory coupled to the processor and storing a program [Dennis, col-5 lines: 34-51].

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 10/811,533

Art Unit: 2116

Regarding Claims 9-14, all the same elements of Claims 2-7 are listed, but in system form rather than method form. Therefore, the supporting rationale of the rejection to Claims 2-7 applies equally as well to Claims 9-14.

Regarding Claims 15-21, all the same elements of Claims 1-7 are listed, but in apparatus form rather than method form. Therefore, the supporting rationale of the rejection to Claims 1-7 applies equally as well to Claims 15-21.

Art Unit: 2116

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

U.S. Pat. No. 7188239 to Osamu Funayama et al. This reference teaches shortening the system boot time by user deselected POST execution after reviving the system from stand by mode.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mohammed H. Rehman whose telephone number is 571-272-1412. The examiner can normally be reached on 9.00-5.00 (Mon - Fri).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rehana Perveen can be reached on 571-272-3676. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

2 1 27

MR